WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Alcides Melgar-Hernandez		Case Number: <u>13-01722M-001</u>	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as represented by counsel. I conclude to defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was submitted on May 31, 2013. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	conderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the mat the hearing in this matter, except as no	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe		
2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		TIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections fa appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable efendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial

Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: May 31, 2013

investigate the potential third party custodian.

United States Magistrate Judge